ALIGO EN 1976 & NO.

U.S. COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

Three Lafayette Centre
1155 21st Street, NW, Washington, DC 20581
Telephone: (202) 418-5000
Facsimile: (202) 418-5521

www.cftc.gov

September 17, 2014

Office of the Inspector General

> Ms. Fay F. Ropella, CPA, CFE Inspector General United States Capitol Police 499 South Capitol St., SW, Suite 345 Washington, DC 20510

Dear Ms. Ropella:

Enclosed is the System Review Report of the United States Capitol Police Office of Inspector General audit organization conducted in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency guidelines. Your response dated August 29, 2014, which indicated no comments to the draft report, is an appendix to the report.

We thank you and your staff for your assistance and cooperation during the conduct of the review.

Sincerely,

A. Roy Lavik Inspector General

Enclosure

REPORT ON THE EXTERNAL QUALITY CONTROL REVIEW OF THE AUDIT ORGANIZATION OF THE UNITED STATES CAPITOL POLICE, OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

We have reviewed the system of quality control for the audit organization of the United States Capitol Police Office of Inspector General (USCP OIG) in effect for the period ended September 30, 2013. A system of quality control encompasses USCP OIG's organizational structure and the policies adopted and procedures established to provide it with reasonable assurance of conforming with Government Auditing Standards. The elements of quality control are described in Government Auditing Standards. USCP OIG is responsible for designing a system of quality control and complying with it to provide USCP OIG with reasonable assurance of performing and reporting in conformity with applicable professional standards in all material respects. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the design of the system of quality control and USCP OIG's compliance therewith based on our review.

Our review was conducted in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and guidelines established by the Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency (CIGIE). During our review, we interviewed USCP OIG personnel and obtained an understanding of the nature of the USCP OIG audit organization, and the design of the USCP OIG's system of quality control sufficient to assess the risks implicit in its audit function. Based on our assessments, we selected engagements and administrative files to test for conformity with professional standards and compliance with the USCP OIG's system of quality control. The engagements selected represented a reasonable cross-section of the USCP OIG's audit organization, with emphasis on higher-risk engagements. Prior to concluding the review, we reassessed the adequacy of the scope of the peer review procedures and met with USCP OIG management to discuss the results of our review. We believe that the procedures we performed provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In performing our review, we obtained an understanding of the system of quality control for the USCP OIG's audit organization. In addition, we tested compliance with the USCP OIG's quality control policies and procedures to the extent we considered appropriate. These tests covered the application of the USCP OIG's policies and procedures on selected engagements. Our review was based on selected tests; therefore, it would not necessarily detect all weaknesses in the system of quality control or all instances of noncompliance with it.

There are inherent limitations in the effectiveness of any system of quality control, and therefore noncompliance with the system of quality control may occur and not be detected. Projection of any evaluation of a system of quality control to future periods is subject to the risk

that the system of quality control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or because the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Appendix 1 to this report identifies the office of the USCP OIG that we visited and the engagements that we reviewed. Appendix 2 provides the verbatim response from USCP IG.

In our opinion, the system of quality control for the audit organization of USCP OIG in effect for the period ended September 30, 2013, has been suitably designed and complied with to provide USCP OIG with reasonable assurance of performing and reporting in conformity with applicable professional standards in all material respects. Federal audit organizations can receive a rating of pass, pass with deficiencies, or fail. USCP OIG has received a peer review rating of pass.

In addition to reviewing its system of quality control to ensure adherence with Government Auditing Standards, we applied certain limited procedures in accordance with guidance established by the CIGIE related to USCP OIG's monitoring of engagements performed by Independent Public Accountants (IPA) under contract where the IPA served as the principal auditor. It should be noted that monitoring of engagements performed by IPAs is not an audit and therefore is not subject to the requirements of Government Auditing Standards. The purpose of our limited procedures was to determine whether USCP OIG had controls to ensure IPAs performed contracted work in accordance with professional standards. However, our objective was not to express an opinion and accordingly, we do not express an opinion, on USCP OIG's monitoring of work performed by IPAs.

SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

We tested compliance with the USCP OIG audit organization's system of quality control to the extent we considered appropriate. These tests included a review of 2 of 2 audit and attestation reports issued during the period October 1, 2012, through September 30, 2013, and semiannual reporting periods (October 1, 2012, to March 31, 2013, and April 1, 2013, to September 30, 2013). We also reviewed the internal quality control reviews performed by USCP OIG.

In addition, we reviewed the USCP OIG's monitoring of engagements performed by IPAs where the IPA served as the principal auditor during the period October 1, 2012, through September 30, 2013. During the period, USCP OIG contracted for audits of its agency's Fiscal Year 2012 financial statements. USCP OIG also contracted for certain other engagements that were to be performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*.

We visited the Washington, DC office of USCP OIG.

Reviewed Engagements Performed by USCP OIG

Report No.	Report Date	Répoid Title
		·
OIG-2013-04	04/12/2013	Performance Audit United States Capitol Police Canine (K-9)
		Program (OIG)
OIG-2013-06	08/20/2013	Performance Audit of USCP Library of Congress Division
		Special Events Unit Reimbursement Process (OIG)

Reviewed Monitoring Files of USCP OIG for Contracted Engagements

Report No	Report Date	Repoid Intle > 1
OIG-2013-01	11/29/2012	Audit of the United States Capitol Police's Fiscal Year 2012
		Financial Statements (IPA)

SSIATES CAPTOL 20

WASHINGTON, DC 20510

August 29, 2014

INSPECTOR GENERAL

Mr. A. Roy Lavik
Inspector General
U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission
Three Lafayette Centre
1155 21st Street, NW
Washington, DC 20581

Dear Mr. Lavik:

We appreciate the opportunity to respond to the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Office of Inspector General's draft System Review Report on the U.S. Capitol Police's Office of Inspector General (USCP OIG) Audit Organization. We are pleased that your review team has concluded that the audit organization of USCP OIG has earned a pass rating. We have no further comments on the System Review Report.

USCP OIG is committed to maintaining an effective system of quality controls, and we appreciate the thorough and professional manner in which your team conducted this review. If you have any questions, please contact me at (202) 593-3867, or have a member of your staff contact Mr. Brian Gillespie, Audit Manager, at (202) 593-1972.

Sincerely,

Tay IT. Ropella

Fay F. Ropella, CPA, CFE

Inspector General